

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/626,775	07/25/2003	Hee Bok Kang	40296-0030	1859
26633	7590 09/28/2005		EXAMINER	
HELLER EH	IRMAN WHITE & MC.	NGUYEN, VAN THU T		
	ISLAND AVE, NW ON, DC 20036-3001		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
WASIIINGIC	JN, DC 20030-3001		2824	
			DATE MAILED: 09/28/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)	Office Act	on Summary	Part of Paper No./Ma	il Date 092605
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTC 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent 3) Information Disclosure Statement Paper No(s)/Mail Date 08/23/206	Drawing Review (PTO-948) nt(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PT 	O-152)
2. Certified copie 3. Copies of the application from	c) None of: s of the priority documents s of the priority documents certified copies of the priori m the International Bureau iled Office action for a list of	have been received in ty documents have bee (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n received in this Nationa	I Stage
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11		priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
9) The specification is o 10) The drawing(s) filed of Applicant may not requ Replacement drawing s 11) The oath or declaration	on <u>7/25/03</u> is/are: a)⊠ access that any objection to the desheet(s) including the correction is objected to by the Example 2.	epted or b) objected frawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 C	` '
Application Papers		election requirement.		
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-8</u> is/are o 8)□ Claim(s) are s		ologian requirement		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,9 and 10</u>				
5) Claim(s) <u>20</u> is/are al	m(s) is/are withdraw lowed.	m nom consideration.		
4) Claim(s) <u>1-10 and 20</u>				
Disposition of Claims				
	c with the practice under L	x parte Quayle, 1900 C.	D. 11, 433 O.G. 213.	
	n is in condition for allowar e with the practice under <i>E</i>			ne merits is
2a) This action is FINAL	,	action is non-final.		
	nunication(s) filed on AF or		<u>/23/05</u> .	
Status				
WHICHEVER IS LONGER - Extensions of time may be available after SIX (6) MONTHS from the may be available after SIX (6)	bove, the maximum statutory period water tended period for reply will, by statute, ter than three months after the mailing	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may fill apply and will expire SIX (6) Mo cause the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
	of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	ddress
		VanThu Nguyen	2824	
Office Action	Summary	10/626,775 Examiner	KANG, HEE BO	л Т
		• •	Applicant(s)	N/Z
		Application No.	Applicant(c)	

Application/Control Number: 10/626,775 Page 2

Art Unit: 2824

Response to Amendment

- 1. This Office Action is in response to Request for Continued Examination (RCE) filed on August 23, 2005 and Amendment After Final filed on June 23, 2005.
- 2. Claims 1-10, 20 are pending.
- 3. Claims 11-19 are cancelled.
- 4. Applicant is noticed that claims 11-18 are not withdrawn, but cancelled as stated in Amendment filed on February 1, 2005.

Response to Arguments

5. Regarding claim 1, Applicant's arguments have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection with U.S. Patent No. 6,226,2000 by Eguchi et al.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eguchi et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,226,2000) in view of Keays (U.S. Patent No. 6,614,695)

Regarding claim 1, Eguchi discloses, in FIG. 2, a memory device capable of controlling a characteristic parameter (word line voltage used during read operation of the memory array 128 in test mode), comprising:

Application/Control Number: 10/626,775

Art Unit: 2824

a register controller (control register 120) for storing controlling data (various bit fields to control the word line supply voltage used during read operation of the memory array 128, such as VWLSEL 121, VT ENABLE 119, etc); and

a parameter controller (programmable voltage generator 114) for receiving an input signal having a characteristic parameter (inherent voltage supply to programmable voltage generator 114, which includes voltage divider for example), for controlling the value of the characteristic parameter of the input signal according to the control data and for generating an output signal having the controlled characteristic parameter (various word line voltage values are obtained with the control of control register 120)

(See column 4 line 21 to column 5 line 52)

However, Eguchi is silent about the register controller being nonvolatile.

Keays discloses, in FIG. 1, a register controller 130 comprising a plurality of nonvolatile registers 130(a)-130(d) for controlling operations of a memory device (see column 6, lines 3-5).

Since Eguchi and Keays are both from the same field of endeavor, the purpose disclosed by Keays would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Eguchi.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to ones having ordinary skill in the art to use registers in either volatile or nonvolatile form, which depends on how they like the data being retained.

8. Claims 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eguchi in view of Keays further in view of Komatsuzaki (PGPUB. 2004/0047172).

Application/Control Number: 10/626,775

Art Unit: 2824

Eguchi in view of Keays disclose, as applied in prior rejection of claim 1, all claimed subject matter except further limitations as in claims 9-10, Both Eguchi and Keays disclose the memory device having non-volatile memory arrays.

Komatsuzaki discloses, in FIG. 12, a ferroelectric memory device having ferroelectric memory arrays comprising:

a plurality of unit cells;

a plurality of switches (for each unit cells); and

bit lines comprising sub bit lines connected to the plurality of unit cells and a main bit line connected to the plurality of sub bit lines via the plurality of switches,

wherein the plurality of switches is configured so that when a predetermined unit cell of the plurality of unit cells is accessed, only a switch for connecting a particular one of the plurality of sub bit lines connecting the predetermined unit cell to the main bit line is turned on, and other switches for connecting the rest of the plurality of sub bit lines to the main bit line are all turned off (corresponding to selected word line and sub bit line).

Since Eguchi, Keays and Komatsuzaki are all from the same field of endeavor, the purpose disclosed by Komatsuzaki would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Eguchi and Keays

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to use FeRAM because FeRAM is also an applicable non-volatile memory type, which is subjected to self test.

Application/Control Number: 10/626,775 Page 5

Art Unit: 2824

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 2-8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

- 10. Claim 20 is allowed.
- 11. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowance:

The prior art made of record and considered pertinent to the applicant's disclosure does not teach or suggest the claimed limitations. Eguchi, Keays and Roohparvar, taken individually or in combination, do not teach the claimed invention having the following limitations, in combination with the remaining claimed limitations:

- (i) a register command processor configured to receive a plurality of signals, to identify a mode by decoding the plurality of signals, and to read/write the control data from/to the plurality of registers according to the identified mode (as in claim 2); or
- (ii) all limitations as claimed in claim 20.

Conclusion

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to VanThu Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1881. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:00am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Elms can be reached on (571) 272-1869. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/626,775 Page 6

Art Unit: 2824

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

September 27, 2005

VanThu Nguyen
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2824